



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,769	12/29/2003	Brian Joseph Ewanchuk	3382-66848-01	8221
26119 7590 05/19/2009 KLARQUIST SPARKMAN LLP 121 S.W. SALMON STREET SUITE 1600 PORTLAND, OR 97204				
EXAMINER				
HIGA, BRENDAN Y				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2453				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/19/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/748,769

**Applicant(s)**

EWANCHUK ET AL.

**Examiner**

BRENDAN Y. HIGA

**Art Unit**

2453

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Brendan Higa.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Robert Scotti (Reg # 39,830).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 18 May 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 7.

Identification of prior art discussed: Microsoft, Windows Sockets 2 Service Provider Interface, Revision 2.2.2, 7 August 1997.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the applicant's proposed response to the Non-Final office action mailed on February 20, 2009. The examiner will consider the applicant's amendments when they are formally submitted.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Brendan Y Higa/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2453

/ARIO ETIENNE/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2457